UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,340	09/23/2003	Stefan Preijert	0173.038.PCUS01	2339
28694 NOVAK DRU	7590 08/10/2007 CE & QUIGG, LLP	·	EXAMINER	
1300 EYE STR	REET NW		COZART, JERMIE E	
SUITE 1000 W WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			AVAIL DATE	DEL WERV MORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/605,340	PREIJERT ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jermie Cozart	3726	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Assignment of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18-23 and 28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>24-27 and 29-33</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.	2	
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2005 in the Example 2005 including the correct and the correct Property 2005 in the Example 2005 including the correct Property 2005 in the Example 2005 including the correct Property 2005 included the Correct Property 2	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objection drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is \square	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/03 & 3/5/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/605,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. In the response to the restriction requirement mailed 12/21/06, Applicants stated that claim 23 has been amended to claim separate or joint forging, also that claim 25 has been amended to depend from claim 26, and that by said amendments the asserted bases for restriction have removed.

2. In response to the reply filed 5/21/07, the Examiner has withdrawn the election/restriction requirement mailed 12/21/06 and as such a comprehensive examination of pending claims 18-33 follows.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration acknowledges the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability as defined in <u>37 CFR 1.56</u>.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "<u>first and the second blanks being formed in a joint forging operation in which the second blank is formed to the same profile as the hat profile of the first blank in a dividing plane of the <u>die pads</u>" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from <u>claim 24</u>. No new matter should be entered.</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/605,340

Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: In <u>claim 25</u>, line 1, the claim depends from a subsequent claim 26 and not a preceding claim which is not proper. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,340 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

7. Claims 20-22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 8. Claim 20 recites the limitation "it" in line 3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 28 recites the limitation "the same press operation" in line 2 of the claim.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 18-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winkler et al. (3,793,703) in view of Bielefeldt (3,697,725) and Lee et al. (5,934,544).

Regarding <u>claim 18</u>, Winkler discloses a method for producing a vehicle axle (see FIG. 14) by heating the blank (2) to a working temperature (col. 3, lines 47-48) then rolled (col. 3, line 49) thereby forming the first blank (2) into an intermediate product having a predetermined profile along a longitudinal extent thereof; feeding the first blank (2) to a forging press (12; col. 3, lines 52-56) having a number of cooperating die pads (9, 10), and working the first blank (12) to form a substantially finished product (6) having a cross section substantially in the form of a hat profile of predetermined height,

Application/Control Number: 10/605,340

Art Unit: 3726

width and material thickness along a length thereof; placing in connection with the hat

profiled first blank (2), a second blank (2) having essentially the same profile as the hat

profile of the first blank (2) in the dividing plane of the cooperating die pads; and joining

(col. 4, lines 13-15) the first (2) and the second blank (2) together, at respective edges

thereof, and forming a composite vehicle axle (see FIG. 14).

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, Winkler discloses at least the first blank (2) being forged vertically (see FIG. 16) with respect to a principal plane in which the composite vehicle axle is intended to be used.

Regarding <u>claim 20</u>, Winkler discloses the forging operation (col. 4, line 21 – col. 5, line 55) comprising a first step in which a pair of first cooperating die pads (9, 10) form the material in the first blank such that it acquires a predetermined, varying height in a vertical plane along a longitudinal extent thereof and the first blank further acquires a basic principal shape in the principal plane in which the composite vehicle axle is intended to be used.

Regarding <u>claim 23</u>, Winkler discloses the second blank (2) being preformed in one of a separate forging operation to have substantially the same profile (see FIG. 14) as the hat profile of the first blank in a dividing plane of the die pads.

Winkler, however, does not disclose directing a first blank through a furnace or directing the first blank between a pair of rollers having profiled surfaces.

Bielefeldt discloses directing a first blank (11) through a furnace (10) for heating work-pieces. See column 2, lines 14-20, and figure 1 for further clarification.

Art Unit: 3726

Lee discloses directing a first blank (18) between a pair of rollers (102, 104) having profiled surfaces, in order to impart the desired shape to the blank. See column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 2, and figure 6A for further clarification.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to direct a first blank of Winkler through a furnace and to direct the first blank of Winkler between a pair of rollers having profiled surfaces, in light of the respective teachings of Bielefeldt and Lee, in order to heat the blank and impart the desired shape to the blank.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 21, 22, and 28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 24-27 and 29-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermie Cozart whose telephone number is 571-272-4528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am - 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,340 Page 7

Art Unit: 3726

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VJERMIE E. COZART PRIMARY EXAMINER